

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 56, 282–286 (1979)

# A Question Concerning CZ-groups

ROGER M. BRYANT

*Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester Institute of Science and Technology,  
Manchester, M60 1QD, England*

*Communicated by Graham Higman*

Received June 14, 1978

## 1. INTRODUCTION

CZ-groups were introduced by Kaplansky [2] as an abstraction of linear groups. A CZ-group is a group  $G$  which carries a topology satisfying the minimal condition for closed sets such that one-element subsets are closed and for each  $a \in G$  the following maps from  $G$  to  $G$  are continuous:  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ ,  $x \mapsto ax$ ,  $x \mapsto xa$  and  $x \mapsto x^{-1}ax$ . Such a topology is called a CZ-topology. It is a familiar result that every finite extension of a linear group is itself linear: see Lemma 2.3 of Wehrfritz [3]. Question 9 of [3] asks if every finite extension of a CZ-group is a CZ-group. The object of this note is to answer the question negatively: a group  $G$  will be constructed which is not a CZ-group but which has a CZ-group  $H$  as a normal subgroup of finite index.

In [1] there was defined for any group a topology called the “verbal topology”, closely related to the idea of a CZ-topology. The question was raised whether the minimal condition for closed sets in the verbal topology is a property preserved under finite extensions. Again the answer is negative: the same groups  $G$  and  $H$  suffice to show this. But in the interests of brevity we omit the proof.

## 2. THE GROUP $G$

Let  $F$  be the free group on a countably infinite set of generators  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ . Let  $F_0 = F$  and, for  $i \geq 0$ ,  $F_{i+1} = F_i^2[F_i, F]$ . Thus  $F_{i+1}$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $F$  contained in  $F_i$  such that  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  is an elementary abelian 2-group and is central in  $F/F_{i+1}$ .

Clearly  $F/F_1$  has a basis consisting of the elements  $x_0F_1, x_1F_1, \dots$ .

It follows that  $F_1/F_2$  is generated by the elements  $x_i^2F_2$  and  $[x_i, x_j]F_2$  (where  $i > j$ ). Any element of  $F$  which belongs to  $F_2$  is a law of the dihedral group of order 8. Consideration of this group shows that the elements  $x_i^2F_2$  and  $[x_i, x_j]F_2$  (where  $i > j$ ) form a basis for  $F_1/F_2$ .

It follows that  $F_2/F_3$  is generated by the elements  $x_i^4F_3$ ,  $[x_i, x_j]^2F_3$ ,  $[x_i^2, x_k]F_3$  and  $[x_i, x_j, x_k]F_3$ , where  $i, j, k \geq 0$ . Now

$$[x_i^2, x_k]F_3 = [x_i, x_k]^2[x_i, x_k, x_i]F_3$$

and

$$[x_j, x_k, x_i][x_k, x_i, x_j]F_3 = [x_i, x_j, x_k]F_3.$$

Hence  $F_2/F_3$  is generated by the elements  $x_i^4F_3$ ,  $[x_i, x_j]^2F_3$  (where  $i > j$ ) and  $[x_i, x_j, x_k]F_3$  (where  $i > j$  and  $k \geq j$ ). Consideration of the dihedral group of order 16 shows that these elements form a basis for  $F_2/F_3$ . Furthermore, it is straightforward to verify that  $F_1/F_3$  is abelian.

Let  $N/F_3$  be the subgroup of  $F_2/F_3$  generated by those elements

$$[x_i, x_0, x_j][x_j, x_0, x_i]F_3$$

where  $i, j \neq 0$  and  $|i - j| \neq 1$ . Let  $G = F/N$ . We write  $G_1 = F_1/N$ ,  $G_2 = F_2/N$  and  $g_i = x_iN$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $G/G_1$ ,  $G_1/G_2$  and  $G_2$  are elementary abelian 2-groups such that  $[G, G] \leq G_1$ ,  $[G_1, G] \leq G_2$ ,  $[G_2, G] = \{1\}$  and  $[G_1, G_1] = \{1\}$ . In particular,  $G$  is nilpotent of class 3.

$G/G_1$  has a basis consisting of the elements  $g_iG_1$  while  $G_1/G_2$  has a basis consisting of the elements  $g_i^2G_2$  and  $[g_i, g_j]G_2$  (where  $i > j$ ). Finally,  $G_2$  has a basis consisting of the elements  $g_i^4$ ,  $[g_i, g_j]^2$  (where  $i > j$ ), and certain elements  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$ . To examine  $G_2$  further let us call elements of the form  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$ , with  $i > j$ , *triple commutators* and let us say that triple commutators  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$  and  $[g_{i'}, g_{j'}, g_{k'}]$  have the same *type* if  $(i, j, k)$  is a permutation of  $(i', j', k')$ . By the choice of  $N$ , a triple commutator  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$  is trivial if and only if  $k = 0$ ,  $j > 0$  and  $i > j + 1$ . Also, a non-trivial triple commutator  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$  does not belong to the subgroup generated by the triple commutators of type different from  $[g_i, g_j, g_k]$ .

We now show that  $G$  is not a CZ-group. Suppose otherwise. Then the maps  $x \mapsto [x, g_j]$  are continuous. Hence for each  $j$  the set

$$S_j = \{x \in G: [x, g_j, g_0] = 1\}$$

is closed. Furthermore, for all  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$g_{j+2} \in (S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_j) \setminus (S_1 \cap \cdots \cap S_{j+1}).$$

Hence

$$S_1 \supset (S_1 \cap S_2) \supset (S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3) \supset \cdots$$

is an infinite properly descending chain of closed subsets of  $G$ . This is the required contradiction.

3. THE GROUP  $H$ 

Let  $H/G_1$  be the subgroup of  $G/G_1$  generated by the elements  $g_iG_1$  where  $i \neq 0$ . Thus  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  of index 2. We shall prove that  $H$  is a CZ-group.

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the set of those subsets of  $H$  of the following forms:

$$H, hG_1, hG_2, \{h\}, \emptyset \quad (h \in H).$$

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the topology on  $H$  in which  $\mathcal{X}$  is a sub-basis for the closed sets. Thus every closed set is an intersection of finite unions of elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ . (It follows, in fact, that every closed set is a finite union of elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ .) To prove that  $H$  is a CZ-group we shall show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is a CZ-topology. (More complicated calculations show that  $\mathcal{T}$  is the verbal topology on  $H$ .) Clearly  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under finite intersections and satisfies the minimal condition. Hence, by Lemma 3.2 of [1], the minimal condition is satisfied for arbitrary closed sets. Clearly one-element subsets are closed and the maps  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ ,  $x \mapsto ax$  and  $x \mapsto xa$  are continuous. It only remains to prove that the maps  $x \mapsto x^{-1}ax$  are continuous. For this it is enough to prove that each map  $x \mapsto [x, a]$  is continuous.

Let  $a \in H$  and  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ . Then it suffices to show that the set

$$T = \{x \in H: [x, a] \in X\}$$

is a finite union of elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ . This is obvious if  $X$  has the form  $H$  or  $\emptyset$ . Suppose then that  $X = hG_\lambda$  ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq 3$ ) where we take  $G_3 = \{1\}$ . Clearly we may assume that  $T$  is non-empty: say  $b \in T$ . Then  $T = Sb$  where

$$S = \{x \in H: [x, a] \in G_\lambda\}.$$

Thus it is enough to show that  $S$  is a finite union of elements of  $\mathcal{X}$ . If  $\lambda = 1$  we have  $S = H$ . Thus it remains to consider the cases  $\lambda = 2$  and  $\lambda = 3$ .

Suppose first that  $\lambda = 2$ , i.e.

$$S = \{x \in H: [x, a] \in G_2\}.$$

If  $a \in G_1$  then  $S = H$ . Thus we assume  $a \in H \setminus G_1$ . Clearly  $G_1 \cup aG_1 \subseteq S$ . Let  $x \in S \setminus G_1$ . Then we can write  $xG_1 = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k G_1$  and  $aG_1 = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_l G_1$  where the  $\xi_i$  are distinct elements from  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$ , as are the  $\alpha_j$ , and where  $k, l \geq 1$ . Since  $[x, a] \in G_2$  we have  $\prod[\xi_i, \alpha_j] \in G_2$ . From this it follows that  $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  are equal, so  $xG_1 = aG_1$ . Hence  $S = G_1 \cup aG_1$ .

Finally, suppose that  $\lambda = 3$ , i.e.

$$S = \{x \in H: [x, a] = 1\}.$$

To deal with this case we need a lemma.

LEMMA. Suppose that  $[c, d] = 1$  where  $c \in G_1 \setminus G_2$  and  $d \in H \setminus G_1$ . Then  $cG_2 = d^2G_2$ . Furthermore, if also  $d' \in H \setminus G_1$  and  $[c, d'] = 1$  then  $dG_1 = d'G_1$ .

*Proof.* We can write  $dG_1 = \delta_1 \cdots \delta_m G_1$  where the  $\delta_j$  are distinct elements of  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  and  $m \geq 1$ . We shall show that  $c \notin [G, G]G_2$ .

Suppose otherwise. Then we can write

$$cG_2 = [\beta_1, \gamma_1] \cdots [\beta_l, \gamma_l]G_2$$

where the  $[\beta_i, \gamma_i]$  are distinct elements of the form  $[g_s, g_t]$  with  $s > t \geq 0$  and where  $l \geq 1$ . Since  $[c, d] = 1$  we have

$$\prod [\beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_j] = 1.$$

Suppose first that  $\beta_i = \delta_j$  for some  $i, j$ . Then the corresponding factor  $[\beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_j]$  is non-trivial, because  $\delta_j \neq g_0$ , and is independent of the other factors, because they have different types. This is impossible. Hence  $\beta_i \neq \delta_j$  for all  $i, j$ . Similarly,  $\gamma_i \neq \delta_j$  for all  $i, j$ . It now follows that the factor  $[\beta_1, \gamma_1, \delta_1]$  is independent of the other factors, because they have different types. This is a contradiction. Hence  $c \notin [G, G]G_2$ .

Thus we can write

$$c[G, G]G_2 = \alpha_1^2 \cdots \alpha_k^2[G, G]G_2$$

where the  $\alpha_i$  are distinct elements of  $\{g_0, g_1, \dots\}$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $[c, d] = 1$  we have

$$\prod [\alpha_i^2, \delta_j] \in [G, G, G],$$

giving

$$\prod [\alpha_i, \delta_j]^2 \in [G, G, G].$$

Therefore  $\prod [\alpha_i, \delta_j]^2 = 1$ . From this it follows that  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$  and  $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\}$  are equal, so  $dG_1 = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k G_1$ . Hence  $d^{-2}c \in [G, G]G_2$ . If we had  $d^{-2}c \notin [G, G]G_2$  then, since  $[d^{-2}c, d] = 1$ , the argument used in the first part of the proof would yield a contradiction. Hence  $d^{-2}c \in [G, G]G_2$  and so  $cG_2 = d^2G_2$ .

For the last statement of the lemma note that, since  $dG_1 = \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k G_1$ ,  $dG_1$  is determined uniquely by  $c$ .

Returning to the main proof, if  $a \in G_2$  then  $S = H$ . So we assume  $a \notin G_2$ . Suppose first that  $a \in G_1 \setminus G_2$ . Then clearly  $G_1 \subseteq S$ . If  $S = G_1$  we have finished. So assume that there exists  $x \in S \setminus G_1$ . Then, by the Lemma,  $xG_1$  is uniquely determined by  $a$ . Hence, for some  $b$ ,  $S = G_1 \cup bG_1$ . It remains only to consider the case where  $a \in H \setminus G_1$ . By the result for  $\lambda = 2$ , we have  $S \subseteq G_1 \cup aG_1$ . But it is easy to see that  $S \cap aG_1 = a(S \cap G_1)$ . Hence  $S = (S \cap G_1) \cup a(S \cap G_1)$ .

Clearly  $G_2 \cup a^2G_2 \subseteq S \cap G_1$ . Let  $x \in (S \cap G_1) \setminus G_2$ . Then, by the Lemma,  $xG_2 = a^2G_2$ . Hence  $S \cap G_1 = G_2 \cup a^2G_2$  giving

$$S = G_2 \cup aG_2 \cup a^2G_2 \cup a^3G_2.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. M. BRYANT, The verbal topology of a group, *J. Algebra* **48** (1977), 340–346.
2. I. KAPLANSKY, "An Introduction to Differential Algebra," Hermann, Paris, 1957.
3. B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, "Infinite Linear Groups," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1973.